

## LOPEZ VILLAGE PLANNING TIMELINE

- 1966 Lopez Village Corporation (LVC) created.
- 1977 Architect John Ottenheimer hired by LVC to make a plan for a better-looking and more functional village. Plan focused on small lots for numerous businesses, walkways, common green space, zero-lot lines and parking on the street. Most of plan was not implemented.
- 1990 Community effort to create a more pleasant village. Questionnaires sent out to 850 people with 122 returned. Town meetings and design workshop were held. People expressed concern over lighting, pedestrian -friendly access, trees, view corridors and other issues.
- 1991 Community planning sessions were held and consensus was reached on basic concepts including tree planting, road paving, making the water tower location a public park and adding public restrooms. Trees were planted and a walkway was installed along Village Road. Eventually the public restrooms and park were developed.
- 1998 Community Village planning meetings for revisions to the Comprehensive Plan for compliance with WA State Growth Management Act.
- 2002 Community meetings to discuss character and size of Lopez UGA. Attendees identified issues important to the character of Lopez Village.
- 2003 Randal Arendt 4-day workshop on rural village planning.
- 2004 Planning suspended to wait outcome of UGA determination.
- 2009 Lopez UGA approved. Lopez Village Planning Review Committee appointed by County Council to look more closely at growth issues in the Village. Public meeting with over 75 attendees held in December to review planning efforts and obtain feedback on issues. The majority voted in favor of a creating a sub area plan for Lopez Village.

Community planning groups since 1990 have identified issues unique to Lopez Village that are not adequately covered in the current UDC. These issues were recently re-confirmed as critical and include the following:

- lighting
- tree and vegetation plans
- parking
- bicycle access
- pedestrian pathways
- view protection
- building standards
- personal wireless communication facilities
- habitat and environmental protection
- density
- aesthetic considerations