

## CRC Elections Committee Notes 3/4/21

In attendance: Tony, Jane, David, Tom, Paul, Sharon

Start: 4:06pm

End: 5:07pm

4:00 Convene and welcome

Approve last meeting minutes and agenda.

4:05 Briefly review preliminary consensus on "residency v legislative" (Tony et al) and elections monitoring statement (Tom). (Sect. 5.2)

Discussion completed last week.

4:15 Review materials if any.

4:20 Discussion of the items in our scope:

CRC district vs. countywide elections (Jane report) - Jane spoke with constituents in District 3.

David – Motion to keep the election of the CRC as it is:

Tom seconded the motion

Discussion: Jane Section 1C is what we're referring to in Article 9, sect 9.20, 1C

David: This doesn't seem to be the correct place in the Charter for this motion.

Jane will send us the language for the motion after this meeting for our approval.

Motion passed unanimously.

Age limit on running for county office: Do we want to add a minimum age limit language (18 or 21) into Sect. 5.2?

Discussion: By doing nothing, we're keeping the minimum age limit at 18. Paul withdrew his initial request and the committee consented to it.

Decision not to add language addressing a minimum age.

Other county elected positions district vs. countywide (Paul) – Discussion.

Decision for the SJCC elections to remain countywide elections.

Any added item(s)?

Charter says there should not be a primary for the Charter Review Commissioners.

Discussion. Decision to leave this as is for now. David will send the committee an email.

4:55 Wrap up – One item for next time: Tom wrote a paragraph about what Elections Office should do – should we bring this forward as a recommendation for the whole CRC? Let's

use email for this particular item and then we can have a meeting or not, and then we're done.

5:00 Adjourn